Instructions: Write name legibly. Explain so that smart people who have not taken our class will understand.

Complete the “Argument from Atheism” for “moral skepticism” that Russ Shafer-Landau provides (p. 1).

1. If ethics is objective, then God

2. But

So, 

Complete the argument for god via ethical objectivity (p. 1).

A. If moral rules are objective, then they must

B. And

So, 

C. (If something is authored by God, then God exists).

So, 

Complete the “line of thought” (i.e., argument) that explains why “people tie objectivity to God” (p. 1).

I. All laws require

II. If there are objective, moral laws, then there must be an objective

III. Objective [laws] cannot be human creations.

So, if there are objective, moral laws, then they require

For each of the following propositions, are they supported by the argument directly above this (I-III)?

Yes No Objective moral laws require God. Yes No God is moral.
Yes No An objective, moral God exists. Yes No Moral laws exist.
Yes No An objective God exists. Yes No Morality is objective.
Yes No God exists. Yes No Morality exists.

Complete the following argument for why Atheists should reject the Argument from Atheism (I-III) (p. 1-2).

If Atheism (is true), then we must either reject

or

Atheism can easily accept

So, if Atheism, then is not the case that

So, if Atheism, then it is not the case that if ethics is

then God exists.
Complete the Atheist’s reductio ad absurdum of the Argument from Atheism (p. 2, i-iv).
Assume that rules require ________________________________________________________________.
Therefore, objective moral rules require ____________________________________________________.
Therefore, objective moral rules require a ____________________________________________________.
A ______________________________________________________ must be God.
But, surely God does not exist—that’s absurd!
So, our initial assumption that __________________________________________________________ must be false.

Explain the difference between scientific and normative laws (p. 2).

Complete the Theist’s argument against Divine Command Theory (p. 3-4).
If moral objectivity requires god, then either ___________________________________________________,
or ________________________________________________________________.
The theist cannot accept that ________________________________________________________________.
So, if moral objectivity requires god, then _____________________________________________________.

Explain the Euthyphro dilemma. (Explain the two options of the dilemma and why each is bad). (p. 3-5)

Which of the following options does Russ Schafer Landau think are plausible? (Check all that apply) (p. 6)
☐ God doesn’t exist, but objective, moral laws do.
☐ God exists and makes objective, moral laws.
☐ God exists, but doesn’t make objective, moral laws.