Instructions: Write name legibly. Explain so that smart people who have not taken our class will understand.

Explain what it means to appeal to nature, with one example about goodness and another about badness.

Check the boxes next to the following arguments that appeal to nature.

☐ We should balance the budget.
☐ [Some type of food/make-up/etc.] is all natural and alternative isn’t. So, you should avoid the alternative.
☐ Wikipedia says that FSU has the highest grossing patent of any US university.
☐ You can’t kill someone for some greater good! Only psychopaths would do that!
☐ Humans didn’t evolve to eat processed food. So we shouldn’t eat processed food.
☐ Consuming another species’ milk is abnormal. Only humans do it. So we should abstain from dairy, soy, etc.
☐ There’s clearly something wrong with homosexuality, given how rare it is among non-human animals.
☐ There’s clearly nothing wrong with homosexuality, given how common it is among non-human animals.

Using an example, explain what it means to appeal to intuition.

Check the boxes next to the following arguments that seem to be appealing to intuition.

☐ Deforestation leads to bad outcomes like erosion and decreased biodiversity. Stop deforestation!
☐ It is impossible for something to be simultaneously round and square. Why? Because it’s inconceivable!
☐ We know that murder is wrong on some deep level. We don’t need arguments or evidence to realize it.
☐ Our constitution grants the right of free speech. So if our constitution is right, then we can’t silence anyone.
☐ People argue that income inequality is ok, but we know it’s wrong. We feel it every time we encounter it.
☐ Skeptics think that we can’t know anything. If skeptics are right, then we cannot know that they are right.
☐ Skeptics argue that we know nothing! But surely we know some things! That’s just common sense!
☐ If there were no such thing property rights, then we wouldn’t be so upset by theft. But we are! Therefore,…

How could an appeal to intuition be an appeal to nature? Explain.
Explain in your own words what it would mean for intuitions to be **necessarily justified**.

Explain in your own words what it would mean for intuitions to be **contingently justified**.

Explain in your own words what it would mean for intuitions to be **unjustified**.

Compose the best possible argument from the following (with hidden premises and implied conclusion):

...the rejection of [intuition] is self-defeating, roughly, because one who rejected [intuition] would inevitably do so on the basis of [intuition]. [...] Therefore, if this opponent of [intuition] were right, his [rejection of intuition] would itself be unjustified. (Huemer 2007, 39)

Write down a (logically) valid, deductive argument concluding that intuitions are unjustified.

Write down a (logically) **invalid**, deductive argument concluding that intuitions are unjustified.

Write down a (logically) valid, deductive argument concluding that intuitions are justified until disproven.

Write down a (logically) **invalid**, deductive argument concluding that intuitions are justified until disproven.